



NORTH-HOLLAND

Characterizing Homotopy of Systems of Curves on a Compact Surface by Crossing Numbers

Maurits de Graaf
Department of Mathematics
University of Amsterdam
Plantage Muidergracht 24
1018 TV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

and

Alexander Schrijver
CWI
Kruislaan 413
1098 SJ Amsterdam, The Netherlands

and

Department of Mathematics
University of Amsterdam
Plantage Muidergracht 24
1018 TV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Dedicated to J. J. Seidel

Submitted by Willem H. Haemers

ABSTRACT

Let C_1, \dots, C_k and C'_1, \dots, C'_k be closed curves on a compact surface S . We characterize (in terms of counting crossings) when there exists a permutation π of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $C'_{\pi(i)}$ is freely homotopic to C_i or C_i^{-1} , for each $i = 1, \dots, k$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S denote a compact surface without boundary. A *closed curve* C on S is a continuous function $C: S^1 \rightarrow S$, where S^1 is the unit circle $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$.

LINEAR ALGEBRA AND ITS APPLICATIONS 226–228:519–528 (1995)

© Elsevier Science Inc., 1995
655 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10010

0024-3795/95/\$9.50
SSDI 0024-3795(95)00161-J

$|z| = 1$). Two closed curves C and C' are called *freely homotopic*, in notation $C \sim C'$, if there exists a continuous function $\Phi: [0, 1] \times S^1 \rightarrow S$ such that $\Phi(0, z) = C(z)$ and $\Phi(1, z) = C'(z)$, for all $z \in S^1$.

Two systems of closed curves C_1, \dots, C_k and $C'_1, \dots, C'_{k'}$, are called *homotopically equivalent* if $k = k'$ and there exists a permutation π of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ such that, for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, one has $C'_{\pi(i)} \sim C_i$ or $C'_{\pi(i)} \sim C_i^{-1}$.

In this paper we characterize homotopic equivalence of systems of curves in terms of minimum crossing numbers of curves. This generalizes the result of [6], where a characterization is given for compact *orientable* surfaces.

To describe the characterization, define for closed curves C and D ,

$$\text{cr}(C, D) := |\{(y, z) \in S^1 \times S^1 \mid C(y) = D(z)\}|, \quad (1)$$

$$\text{mincr}(C, D) := \min\{\text{cr}(C', D') \mid C' \sim C, D' \sim D\}.$$

A closed curve C is called *orientation-preserving* if passing once through C does not change the meaning of “left” and “right.” Otherwise, C is called *orientation-reversing*. C is called *orientation-primitive* if there do not exist an orientation-preserving curve D and an integer $n \geq 2$ so that $C \sim D^n$. [For a closed curve C and an integer n , C^n is the closed curve defined by $C^n(z) := C(z^n)$ for $z \in S^1$.] So each orientation-reversing closed curve is orientation-primitive.

We show the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. *Let C_1, \dots, C_k and $C'_1, \dots, C'_{k'}$, be orientation-primitive closed curves on a compact surface S . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) C_1, \dots, C_k and $C'_1, \dots, C'_{k'}$, are homotopically equivalent.
- (ii) For each closed curve D on S ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \text{mincr}(C_i, D) = \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \text{mincr}(C'_i, D). \quad (2)$$

2. A LINEAR ALGEBRAIC FORMULATION

The theorem can be formulated equivalently as the nonsingularity of a certain infinite symmetric matrix. Let \mathcal{E} be the family of free homotopy classes of closed curves on S . For $\Gamma, \Delta \in \mathcal{E}$, define $\text{mincr}(\Gamma, \Delta) := \text{mincr}(C, D)$ for (arbitrary) $C \in \Gamma$ and $D \in \Delta$. So mincr is considered here

as a function from $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}$ to \mathbb{Z}_+ . We can represent this function as an infinite symmetric matrix M with both rows and columns indexed by \mathcal{E} .

The rows of M are not linearly independent. First of all, the row corresponding to the trivial class $\langle 0 \rangle$ is all-zero (where 0 denotes a homotopically trivial closed curves and where $\langle \cdot \cdot \rangle$ denotes the equivalence class containing $\cdot \cdot$). Moreover, the rows corresponding to $\langle C \rangle$ and $\langle C^{-1} \rangle$ are the same, as $\text{mincr}(C, D) = \text{mincr}(C^{-1}, D)$ for each closed curve D . Moreover, it is shown in [7] that for each pair of orientation-preserving closed curves C, D and each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ one has $\text{mincr}(C^n, D) = |n| \text{mincr}(C, D)$. In fact, this also holds if D is orientation-reversing, so the row corresponding to $\langle C^n \rangle$ is a multiple of the row corresponding to $\langle C \rangle$.

Now the theorem states that if we restrict ourselves to orientation-primitive closed curves, then the rows of M are linearly independent. To formulate this precisely, choose $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \{\langle C \rangle \mid C \text{ orientation-primitive}\}$ such that for each orientation-primitive closed curve, exactly one of $\langle C \rangle$ and $\langle C^{-1} \rangle$ belongs to \mathcal{E}' . Let M' be the $\mathcal{E}' \times \mathcal{E}'$ submatrix of M . Then the following theorem is equivalent to the theorem above:

THEOREM 2. *The matrix M' is nonsingular, i.e., the rows of M' are linearly independent.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that in [6]. ■

3. CLOSED CURVES IN GRAPHS

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected graph, without loops and parallel edges, embedded on a compact surface S and where each vertex of G has degree 2 or 4. Let W be the set of vertices of degree 4. For each vertex $v \in W$, we can order the edges incident with v cyclically. For each $v \in W$, we fix one such ordering $e_1^v, e_2^v, e_3^v, e_4^v$. We say that e_1^v and e_3^v are *opposite in v* , and similarly for e_2^v and e_4^v .

We identify G with its embedding on S . (An edge is considered as an open line segment.) So we can speak of a closed curve C in G , which is a continuous function $C: S^1 \rightarrow G$. We say that C is *nonreturning* if $C|K$ is one-to-one, for each edge e of G and each component K of $C^{-1}(\bar{e})$. (Here \bar{e} is the closure of e .)

We say that C is *straight* if C is nonreturning and in each vertex $v \in W$, if C arrives in v over an edge e , it leaves v over the edge opposite in v to e .

A *straight decomposition* of G is a collection of straight closed curves such that each edge is traversed exactly once. Such a straight decomposition is unique up to a number of trivial operations.

Let C be a closed curve in G . For any edge e of G , we define

$$\text{tr}_C(e) := \text{number of times } C \text{ traverses } e. \tag{3}$$

[More precisely, it is the number of components of $C^{-1}(e)$.] For any vertex of degree 4 in G , we define

$$\alpha_{ij}^v(C) := \text{number of times } C \text{ traverses } v \text{ by going from } e_i^v \text{ to } e_j^v \text{ or from } e_i^v. \tag{4}$$

The following two propositions generalize Lemma A in [6], and the proofs are similar (note that Lemmas A and B in [6] do not use the orientability of the surface).

We define for any closed curve C on a surface S ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cr}(C) &:= \frac{1}{2} |\{(y, z) \in S^1 \times S^1 \mid C(y) = C(z) \text{ and } y \neq z\}|, \\ \text{mincr}(C) &:= \min\{\text{cr}(C') \mid C' \sim C\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

PROPOSITION 1. *For any nonreturning closed curve C in G ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mincr}(C) \leq \sum_{v \in W} & \left[\alpha_{13}^v(C) \alpha_{24}^v(C) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{1 \leq g < h \leq 4} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq 4 \\ \{|g, h\} \cap \{k, l\}| = 1}} \alpha_{gh}^v(C) \alpha_{kl}^v(C) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

PROPOSITION 2. *For any pair of nonreturning closed curves C, D in G with $C \neq D$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mincr}(C, D) \leq \sum_{v \in W} & \left[\alpha_{13}^v(C) \alpha_{24}^v(D) + \alpha_{24}^v(C) \alpha_{13}^v(D) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq g < h \leq 4} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k < l \leq 4 \\ \{|g, h\} \cap \{k, l\}| = 1}} \alpha_{gh}^v(C) \alpha_{kl}^v(D) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

If C_1, \dots, C_s are edge-disjoint closed curves in G , then clearly $|W| \geq \sum_{i=1}^s \text{mincr}(C_i) + \sum_{i < j} \text{mincr}(C_i, C_j)$. The next proposition gives a lower bound for $|W|$ in case the closed curves C_1, \dots, C_s are “fractionally” edge-disjoint as described in (8) below.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let C_1, \dots, C_s be nonreturning closed curves in G and let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s > 0$ be such that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j \text{tr}_{C_j}(e) \leq 1, \quad \text{for each } e \in E. \tag{8}$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i^2 \text{mincr}(C_i) + \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i < j}}^s \lambda_i \lambda_j \text{mincr}(C_i, C_j) \leq |W|. \tag{9}$$

Equality in (9) implies that each C_i is straight.

Proof. By Propositions 1 and 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^s 2\lambda_i^2 \text{mincr}(C_i) + \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^s \lambda_i \lambda_j \text{mincr}(C_i, C_j) \\ & \leq \sum_{v \in W} \sum_{i, j=1}^s \lambda_i \lambda_j \left[\alpha_{13}^v(C_i) \alpha_{24}^v(C_j) + \alpha_{24}^v(C_i) \alpha_{13}^v(C_j) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g < h} \sum_{\substack{k < l \\ \{|g, h\} \cap \{k, l\} = 1}} \alpha_{gh}^v(C_i) \alpha_{kl}^v(C_j) \right]. \tag{10} \end{aligned}$$

For any vertex $v \in W$ and $g, h \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, define

$$\alpha_{gh}^v := \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j \alpha_{gh}^v(C_j). \tag{11}$$

The right-hand side of (10) is equal to

$$\sum_{v \in W} \left[2\alpha_{13}^v \alpha_{24}^v + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g < h} \sum_{\substack{k < l \\ \{|g, h\} \cap \{k, l\}| = 1}} \alpha_{gh}^v \alpha_{kl}^v \right],$$

so it is sufficient to show that for any fixed vertex $v \in W$,

$$2\alpha_{13}^v \alpha_{24}^v + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{g < h} \sum_{\substack{k < l \\ \{|g, h\} \cap \{k, l\}| = 1}} \alpha_{gh}^v \alpha_{kl}^v \leq 2. \quad (12)$$

This follows from Lemma B in [6], which lemma also implies that equality in (12) is attained only if $\alpha_{13}^v = \alpha_{24}^v = 1$ and $\alpha_{12}^v = \alpha_{14}^v = \alpha_{23}^v = \alpha_{34}^v = 0$. This shows the proposition. ■

4. CROSSINGS OF CLOSED CURVES ON SURFACES

We need a few observations on crossing numbers on surfaces, for which we make use of formulas given in [3], expressing $\text{mincr}(C)$ and $\text{mincr}(C, D)$ in $\text{mincr}(J)$ and $\text{mincr}(J, K)$, where J and K are geodesic; that is, J is a closed curve for which $C \sim J^n$ for some $n \geq 1$ and such that J is shortest with respect to a euclidean or hyperbolic distance on the surface (cf. [4]). First we have the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 4. *Let C be an orientation-reversing closed curve on S . Then $\text{mincr}(C, C^2) < 2 \text{mincr}(C, C)$.*

Proof. Let J be the geodesic such that $C \sim J^n$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So J is orientation-reversing and n is odd. Then $\text{mincr}(C, C) = 2n^2 \text{mincr}(J) + n$ and $\text{mincr}(C, C^2) = 4n^2 \text{mincr}(J)$. ■

Moreover:

PROPOSITION 5. *Let C and D be closed curves on S . Then $\text{mincr}(C, D^2) \leq 2 \text{mincr}(C, D)$.*

Proof. Choose C, D such that $\text{cr}(C, D) = \text{mincr}(C, D)$. Then $\text{mincr}(C, D^2) \leq \text{cr}(C, D^2) = 2\text{cr}(C, D) = 2\text{mincr}(C, D)$. ■

For a closed curve C on S , let $\text{odd}(C) := 1$ if C is orientation-reversing, and $\text{odd}(C) := 0$ if C is orientation-preserving.

PROPOSITION 6. *Let C be an orientation-primitive closed curve on S . Then $\text{mincr}(C, C) = 2\text{mincr}(C) + \text{odd}(C)$.*

Proof. Let J be a geodesic such that $C \sim J^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If C is orientation-reversing, then J is orientation-reversing and n is odd, and hence $\text{mincr}(C, C) = 2n^2 \text{mincr}(J) + n = 2\text{mincr}(C) = 1$. If C and J are orientation-preserving, then $n = 1$ (as C is orientation-primitive), and hence $\text{mincr}(C, C) = 2n^2 \text{mincr}(J) = 2\text{mincr}(C)$. If C is orientation-preserving and J is orientation-reversing, then $n = 2$, and hence $\text{mincr}(C, C) = 2n^2 \text{mincr}(J) = 2\text{mincr}(C)$. ■

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) in Theorem 1 is trivial as $\text{mincr}(C^{-1}, D) = \text{mincr}(C, D)$ for any pair of closed curves C, D on S . We show (ii) \Rightarrow (i).

Suppose by contradiction that C_1, \dots, C_k and $C'_1, \dots, C'_{k'}$ are two systems of curves satisfying (ii) but not (i) such that $k + k'$ is minimal. This implies that:

there are no $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, k'\}$ such that

$$C_i \sim C'_j \text{ or } C_i^{-1} \sim C'_j. \tag{13}$$

By symmetry we may assume that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k'} \text{mincr}(C'_i) + \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i < j}}^{k'} \text{mincr}(C'_i, C'_j) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \text{mincr}(C_i) + \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i < j}}^k \text{mincr}(C_i, C_j). \tag{14}$$

It is a basic fact (cf. [1, 5, 8]), that there exist $\tilde{C}_1 \sim C'_1, \dots, \tilde{C}_{k'} \sim C'_{k'}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cr}(\tilde{C}_i) &= \text{mincr}(C'_i), \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k', \\ \text{cr}(\tilde{C}_i, \tilde{C}_j) &= \text{mincr}(C'_i, C'_j), \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots, k' \text{ and } i \neq j \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The result being invariant under homotopies, we may assume that $\tilde{C}_i = C'_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, k'$, and that each point of S is traversed at most twice by the C'_i (so no two crossings of the C'_i coincide).

Let $G = (V, E)$ be the graph made up by the curves C'_i . So G is a graph embedded on S . Each point of S traversed twice by the C'_i is a vertex of degree 4 of G . Moreover, we take as vertices some of the points of S traversed exactly once by the C'_i , in such a way that G will be a graph without loops or parallel edges. So each vertex of G has degree 2 or 4 and $C'_1, \dots, C'_{k'}$, is a straight decomposition of G . Let W denote the set of vertices of degree 4. We obtain:

$$|W| = \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \text{mincr}(C'_i) + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i < j}}^{k'} \text{mincr}(C'_i, C'_j). \tag{16}$$

By (2) for each closed curve $D: S^1 \rightarrow S \setminus V$,

$$\text{cr}(G, D) = \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \text{cr}(C'_i, D) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \text{mincr}(C'_i, D) = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{mincr}(C_i, D), \tag{17}$$

where $\text{cr}(G, D) := |\{z \in S^1 \mid D(z) \in G\}|$. Hence, by the ‘‘homotopic circulation theorem’’ in [2], there exist closed curves D_1, \dots, D_s , with rationals $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s > 0$ and a partition S_1, \dots, S_k of $\{1, \dots, s\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} D_j &\sim C_i, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k \text{ and } j \in S_i, \\ \sum_{j \in S_i} \lambda_j &= 1, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j \text{tr}_{D_j}(e) \leq 1, \quad \text{for } e \in E.$$

Clearly, we may assume the D_j to be nonreturning. This implies with Propositions 3 and 6,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2 \sum_{i=1}^k \text{mincr}(C_i) + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k \text{mincr}(C_i, C_j) \\
 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^k \text{mincr}(C_i, C_j) - \sum_{i=1}^k \text{odd}(C_i) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{g,h=1 \\ h \neq g}}^s \lambda_g \lambda_h \text{mincr}(D_g, D_h) - \sum_{i=1}^k \text{odd}(C_i) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{g,h=1 \\ h \neq g}}^s \lambda_g \lambda_h \text{mincr}(D_g, D_h) + \sum_{g=1}^s \lambda_g^2 \text{mincr}(D_g, D_g) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^k \text{odd}(C_i) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{g,h=1 \\ h \neq g}}^s \lambda_g \lambda_h \text{mincr}(D_g, D_h) + \sum_{g=1}^s \lambda_g^2 (2 \text{mincr}(D_g) + \text{odd}(D_g)) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^k \text{odd}(C_i) \\
 &\leq 2|W| + \sum_{i=1}^k \text{odd}(C_i) \left(-1 + \sum_{g \in S_i} \lambda_g^2 \right) \leq 2|W|. \tag{19}
 \end{aligned}$$

(The first inequality follows from Proposition 3.)

By our assumption (14) and by (16), we should have equality throughout in (19). Hence by Proposition 3, each curve D_j ($j = 1, \dots, s$) is straight. So there exists a function $\pi: \{1, \dots, s\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k'\}$ and n_1, \dots, n_s such that

$$D_j = C_{\pi(j)}^{n_j} \quad \text{or} \quad D_j = C_{\pi(j)}^{-n_j}, \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, s. \tag{20}$$

For each $j = 1, \dots, s$, by (13), $n_j \geq 2$, and, as each C_i is orientation-primitive, $C_{\pi(j)}'$ is orientation-reversing.

Suppose that C_i is orientation-reversing for some $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. It follows from

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \text{odd}(C_i) \left(-1 + \sum_{g \in S_i} \lambda_g^2 \right) = 0 \quad (21)$$

that $|S_i| = 1$, say $S_i = \{j\}$. We now obtain $\lambda_j = 1$ and $D_j = C'_i$ or $D_j = C_i'^{-1}$, contradicting (13). Hence C_i is orientation-preserving for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

So for $j = 1, \dots, k'$ we have that n_j is even and, hence, as C_i is orientation-primitive, $n_j = 2$ and $C'_{\pi(j)}$ is orientation-reversing for $j = 1, \dots, s$. Hence, using Propositions 4 and 5, and assuming without loss of generality that $\pi(1) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k \text{mincr}(C_i, C'_1) &= \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j \text{mincr}(D_j, C'_1) = \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j \text{mincr}(C_{\pi(j)}'^2, C'_1) \\ &< \sum_{j=1}^s 2\lambda_j \text{mincr}(C'_{\pi(j)}, C'_1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \text{mincr}(C'_i, C'_1). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Here the last inequality follows from the fact that, for any $i = 1, \dots, k$, the sum of those λ_j for which $\pi(j) = i$ is at most $\frac{1}{2}$, by (8). However, (22) contradicts (2). ■

REFERENCES

- 1 R. Baer, Kurventypen auf Flächen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 156:231–246 (1927).
- 2 M. de Graaf and A. Schrijver, Decomposition of Graphs on Surfaces and a Homotopic Circulation Theorem, Preprint, 1994.
- 3 M. de Graaf and A. Schrijver, Making Curve Systems Minimally Crossing by Reidemeister Moves, Preprint, 1994.
- 4 P. Koebe, Riemannsche Mannigfaltigkeiten und nichteuklidische Raumformen (Vierte Mitteilung), *Sitzungsber. Deutsche Akad. Wiss. Berlin, Math.-Phys. Klasse* 414–457 (1929).
- 5 B. L. Reinhart, Algorithms for Jordan curves on compact surfaces, *Ann. Math.* 75:209–222 (1962).
- 6 A. Schrijver, Homotopy and crossings of systems of curves on a surface, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 114/115:157–167 (1989).
- 7 A. Schrijver, Decomposition of graphs on surfaces and a homotopic circulation theorem, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 51:161–210 (1991).
- 8 J. Stillwell, *Geometry of Surfaces*, Springer, New York, 1992.

Received 8 February 1995; final manuscript accepted 16 February 1995